

SONG-LEVEL FEATURES AND SVMs FOR MUSIC CLASSIFICATION

Michael Mandel and Dan Ellis {mim,dpwe}@ee.columbia.edu LabROSA – Columbia University, New York, NY

SUMMARY

- 18-way artist identification task
- Compare all pairs of {artist-, song-level features} \times {no SVM, SVM}
- Best performance with song-level features and SVM
- Compare 3 different features / distance measures
- KL divergence on single Gaussians placed 1st in audio artist ID and 2nd in audio genre ID competitions at MIREX '05 with 72.5% and 78.8% accuracies, respectively

ALGORITHM

- Calculate MFCCs for whole song
- Three different features / metrics
- -Mean of MFCCs and covariance unwrapped and combined into single vector, compared using Mahalanobis distance:

$$D_M(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v}) = (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v})^T \Sigma^{-1} (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{v})$$
(1)

-ML Gaussian with mean and covariance of MFCCs, compared using KL divergence:

$$2KL_{\mathcal{N}}(p || q) = \log \frac{|\Sigma_q|}{|\Sigma_p|} + Tr(\Sigma_q^{-1}\Sigma_p) + (\mu_p - \mu_q)^T \Sigma_q^{-1}(\mu_p - \mu_q) - d \quad (2$$

-20-Gaussian mixture model of MFCCs, compare using KL divergence, estimated with 500 Monte Carlo samples:

$$KL_{GMM}(p \mid\mid q) \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log \frac{p(X_i)}{q(X_i)}$$
(3)

- Divergences do not fulfill the Mercer conditions on kernels.
- -Symmetrize:

$$D_{KL}(p,q) = KL(p || q) + KL(q || p)$$
(4)

-Turn distance measure into similarity (i.e. make positive semidefinite):

$$K(X_i, X_j) = e^{-\gamma D(X_i, X_j)}$$
(5)

DATASET: uspop2002

- To avoid "album effect" each album either training, testing, or validation
- 18 artists (out of 400) had enough albums, 90 albums total
- 1210 songs: 656 training, 451 testing, 103 validation
- Also used 3-fold cross-validation, songs randomly assigned to a group, album effect improves accuracy.

EXPERIMENTS

Our solution: classification of song-level features with a DAG-SVM. • Flexible features and distances, KL divergence between Gaussian song models performed best

• Once features extracted, fast retraining (10s-100s of example songs) • Useful for relevance feedback, training many classifiers quickly



Brand X: classification of artist-level features without an SVM. • Gaussian mixture model trained on MFCC frames pooled by artist • Slow feature extraction and training (10,000s of example frames) • Too slow to do cross-validation experiments



Two other classifiers:

• SVM, no song-level features: Train an 18-way DAG-SVM on many frames from each artist. Classify test song by classifying frames and taking artist with most votes.

• No SVM, song-level features: k-nearest neighbors classifier. Classify test song based on labels of closest training songs using distance metrics mentioned above.



Classification accuracy on separate training and testing albums. Columns: Artist GMMs, artist SVMs, and kNN and SVMs using Mahalanobis distance, KL divergence between single Gaussians, and KL divergence between 20-component GMMs

1		
	SVM	
0.9 -		
0.8 -		
0.7 -		
0.6 -		
0.5 -		
0.4 -		
0.3 -		
0.2 -		
0.1 -		
0	Art GMM	

Accuracy training and testing on separate albums (Sep) and within albums (Same).

Classifier	Song-Level?	SVM?	Sep	Same
Artist GMM	No	No	.541	
Artist SVM	No	Yes	.067	
Song KNN	Yes	No	.524	.722
Song SVM	Yes	Yes	.687	.839
'			1	

Accuracy for different song-level distance measures.

Classifier	Distance	Sep	Same
KNN	Mahalanobis	.481	.594
KNN	KL-Div 1G	.524	.722
KNN	KL-Div 20G	.365	.515
SVM	Mahalanobis	.687	.792
SVM	KL-Div 1G	.648	.839
SVM	KL-Div 20G	.431	.365

References

Jean-Julien Aucouturier and Francois Pachet. Improving timbre similarity : How high's the sky? Journal of Negative Results in Speech and Audio Sciences, 1(1), 2004. Dan Ellis, Adam Berenzweig, and Brian Whitman. The "uspop2002" pop music data set, 2005. http://labrosa.ee.columbia.edu/projects/musicsim/ uspop2002.html. Michael I. Mandel, Graham E. Poliner, and Daniel P. W. Ellis. Support vector machine active learning for music retrieval. ACM Multimedia Systems Journal, 2005. Submitted for review. Pedro J. Moreno, Purdy P. Ho, and Nuno Vasconcelos. A Kullback-Leibler divergence based kernel for SVM classification in multimedia applications. In Sebastian Thrun, Lawrence Saul, and Bernhard Schölkopf, editors, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 16. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, 2004. William D. Penny. Kullback-Liebler divergences of normal, gamma, Dirichlet and Wishart densities. Technical report, Wellcome Department of Cognitive Neurology, 2001.

John C. Platt, Nello Cristianini, and John Shawe-Taylor. Large margin DAGs for multiclass classification. In S.A. Solla, T.K. Leen, and K.-R. Mueller, editors, Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 12, pages 547–553, 2000.



RESULTS

